Sydney Zaremba, Mylinh Tran, and Kenji Furuya

Boehringer Ingelheim, Fremont CA, USA



### Introduction

Absorption spectroscopy is used to quantify biomolecules using Beer's Law: Absorbance (A) = Molar Absorptivity ( $\epsilon$ ) \* Pathlength (L) \* Concentration (c). A =  $\epsilon$  L c

At high concentrations, samples must be diluted due to limitations of traditional spectroscopy instrumentation utilizing a fixed pathlength of 1 cm. A gravimetric correction is applied to ensure accuracy of dilution. The process of diluting, applying the gravimetric correction and washing the cuvette is time consuming and can take several hours in a controlled setting such as Quality Control (QC) or Manufacturing. The use of Variable Pathlength Extension eliminates the need to dilute samples by taking absorbance measurements at multiple pathlengths using a disposable optical fibrette and sample vessel. The software plots absorbance vs. pathlength. Using Linear regression, the software calculates a slope for the points and determines the concentration by rearranging Beer's Law to:  $A/L = slope = \varepsilon c$ . Use of Variable Pathlength for concentration measurements results in time savings of greater than 80%.

Variable Pathlength Technology



#### Figure 1: Measurement principle based upon Beer-Lambert Law

The instrument measures the absorbance over multiple pathlengths. The slope calculation uses multiple data points, improving accuracy over single point absorbance measurements. This slope measuring capability combined with the pathlength range of 0.005 mm to 15.000 mm allows the system to measure both highly concentrated and very dilute samples directly without sample preparation. This allows for rapid determination of concentration when the Extinction Coefficient is known.



#### Figure 2: Blank subtraction not necessary

The change in absorbance relative to the change in pathlength is buffer independent. Concentration measurements are determined using slope rather than absorbance. The slope of the sample is the same with or without a buffer blank subtraction. Consequently, buffer blanks are not necessary unless the buffer has an absorbance at 280nm.

#### **Time Savings Per Year**

| Variable Pathlength<br>vs. Gravimetric | Hrs Saved /<br>Sample | Total Hrs<br>Saved |   |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Quality Control                        | 0.7                   | > 48               | Sample  |
| Manufacturing                          | 1.5                   | > 450              | Vessel  |
| Process Science                        | 0.5                   | > 75               |   |
| Process Science **                     | 0.2                   | >1800              | Down to 0.005 mm UP AUTULEEN COLUMN Up to 15 mm |

\*\* Time Saved Compared to Volumetric Method

### Implementation of Variable Pathlength Technology at Boehringer-Ingelheim Fremont

### Sydney Zaremba, Mylinh Tran, and Kenji Furuya

Boehringer Ingelheim, Fremont CA, USA

# Boehringer Ingelheim

### **Qualification Parameters**

Table 2: Intermediate Precision

#### Table 1: Precision (Repeatability)

| Conc. mg/mL | Measured<br>Concentration mg/mL | Avg Conc,<br>mg/mL | STDev                  | %CV |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----|
|             | 65.9                            |                    |                        |     |
| 65.0        | 66.4                            | 66.3               | 0.4                    | 0.6 |
|             | 66.7                            |                    |                        |     |
|             | 51.8                            |                    |                        |     |
| 50.0        | 51.6                            | 51.9               | 0.3                    | 0.6 |
|             | 51.2                            |                    |                        |     |
|             | 10.1                            |                    |                        |     |
| 10.0        | 10.1                            | 10.1               | 0.4<br>0.3<br>0.2<br>0 | 0   |
|             | 10.1                            |                    |                        |     |
|             | 1.0                             |                    |                        |     |
| 1.0         | 1.0                             | 1.0                | 0                      | 0.1 |
|             | 1.0                             |                    |                        |     |
|             | 0.1                             |                    |                        | 0.4 |
| 0.1         | 0.1                             | 0.1                | 0                      |     |
|             | 0.1                             |                    |                        |     |
|             |                                 |                    |                        |     |

#### **Graph 1: Dilutional Linearity**

Single preparations of one sample at 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, 50.0, and 65.0 mg/mL concentrations were measured. The average slope for each sample concentration was plotted against the target sample concentration



|                                   |                    |  |                    |      |                    | · · · · · · |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|------|--------------------|-------------|
| Samples                           | Group              | Variable Pathlength<br>Measured Conc.<br>mg/mL | Avg Conc.<br>mg/mL | % CV | Avg Conc.<br>mg/mL | Avg %CV     |
| In Process<br>Purification Step 2 | Analytical Science | 6.7  |                    | 0.1  | 6.7                | 0.1         |
|                                   |                    | 6.7  | 6.7                |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 6.7  |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Manufacturing      | 6.7  |                    | 0    |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 6.7  | 6.7                |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 6.7  |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Quality Control    | 6.7  | 6.7                | 0.1  |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 6.7  |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 6.8  |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Analytical Science | 19.3   | 19.3               | 0.2  | 19.3               | 0.3         |
| n process Purification<br>Step 1  |                    | 19.4   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 19.3   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Manufacturing      | 19.2   | 19.2               | 0.2  |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 19.3   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 19.2   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Quality Control    | 19.3   | 19.3               | 0.1  |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 19.3   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 19.3   |                    |      |                    |             |
| -<br>BDS                          | Analytical Science | 10.0   | 10.0               | 0.1  | 10.2               | 3.4         |
|                                   |                    | 10.0   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 10.0   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Manufacturing      | 10.0   |                    | 0.2  |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 10.0   | 10.0               |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 10.0   |                    |      |                    |             |
|                                   | Quality Control    | 10.7   |                    | 0.1  |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 10.7   | 10.7               |      |                    |             |
|                                   |                    | 10.7   |                    |      |                    |             |

### Comparability

#### Table 3: Gravimetric VS. Variable Pathlength

| Sample<br>(N = 3)                 | Gravimetric Conc.<br>mg/mL | Gravi-metric<br>%CV | Variable Pathlength<br>Conc. mg/mL | Variable<br>Pathlength %CV | %<br>Difference Gravimetric<br>vs. Variable Pathlength |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| In-Process<br>Purification Step 1 | 2.8                        | 2.2                 | 2.7                                | 0.1                        | 1.8  |
| In-Process<br>Purification Step 2 | 2.7                        | 0.9                 | 2.6                                | 0.1                        | 2.6  |
| In-Process<br>Purification Step 3 | 49.8                       | 0.6                 | 50.5                               | 0.3                        | 1.4  |
| In-Process<br>Purification Step 4 | 62.4                       | 2.5                 | 62.5                               | 0.3                        | 0.1  |
| BDS                               | 50.4                       | 1.9                 | 50.5                               | 0.3                        | 0.3  |

### Conclusions

## Variable Pathlength Technology:

- 🗢 Saves time
- $\bigcirc$  Eliminates errors due to dilution
- $\bigcirc$  Improves accuracy over single point absorbance measurements
- $\bigcirc$  Functions over a wide range of protein concentrations
- $\bigcirc$  Comparable to Gravimetric data

